TU Wien 2nd December 2010

An Inverse Method for the Synthesis of Timing Parameters in Concurrent Systems

Étienne ANDRÉ

Laboratoire Spécification et Vérification LSV, ENS de Cachan & CNRS, France

Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

2nd December 2010 1 / 63

Context: Model Checking Timed Systems

Input

- A timed concurrent system
- A good behavior expected for the system

• Question: does the system always behave well?

ヨト・モヨト

• An asynchronous circuit [Clarisó and Cortadella, 2007]



- Concurrent behavior
 - 4 elements: G₁, G₂, G₃, G₄
 - 2 input signals (D and CK), 1 output signal (Q)

• An asynchronous circuit [Clarisó and Cortadella, 2007]



- - 4 elements: G_1 , G_2 , G_3 , G_4
 - 2 input signals (D and CK), 1 output signal (Q)
- Timing delays
 - Traversal delays of the gates: one interval per gate

• An asynchronous circuit [Clarisó and Cortadella, 2007]





- Concurrent behavior
 - 4 elements: G₁, G₂, G₃, G₄
 - 2 input signals (D and CK), 1 output signal (Q)
- Timing delays
 - Traversal delays of the gates: one interval per gate
 - Environment timing constants

• An asynchronous circuit [Clarisó and Cortadella, 2007]





- Concurrent behavior
 - 4 elements: G₁, G₂, G₃, G₄
 - 2 input signals (D and CK), 1 output signal (Q)
- Timing delays
 - Traversal delays of the gates: one interval per gate
 - Environment timing constants

• Question

• For these timing delays, does the rise of Q always occur before the fall of *CK*?

• An asynchronous circuit [Clarisó and Cortadella, 2007]





- Concurrent behavior
 - 4 elements: G₁, G₂, G₃, G₄
 - 2 input signals (D and CK), 1 output signal (Q)
- Timing delays
 - Traversal delays of the gates: one interval per gate
 - Environment timing constants

• Question

- For these timing delays, does the rise of Q always occur before the fall of *CK*?
- Timed model checking gives the answer: yes

Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

Synthesis of Parameters

- More difficult problem: find values of the timing delays for which the system behaves well
- Idea: reason with unknown constants or parameters
- Interesting applications
 - Ensure the robustness of the system
 - Allow the designer to optimize timing delays
 - Allow to scale down large timing constants
- Difficult problem
 - Both concurrent behavior and timed behavior
 - Undecidable in general

ヨトィヨト

Introduction

Flip-Flop Circuit: Timing Parameters

• An asynchronous circuit





< E

< D > < P

Flip-Flop Circuit: Timing Parameters

• An asynchronous circuit



- Timing parameters
 - Traversal delays of the gates: one interval per gate
 - 4 environment parameters: T_{LO}, T_{HI}, T_{Setup} and T_{Hold}

Flip-Flop Circuit: Timing Parameters

• An asynchronous circuit



- Timing parameters
 - Traversal delays of the gates: one interval per gate
 - 4 environment parameters: T_{LO}, T_{HI}, T_{Setup} and T_{Hold}
- Question: for which values of the parameters does the rise of Q always occur before the fall of *CK*?

Related Work

- Approaches based on bad state avoidance
 - Computation of all the reachable states, and intersection with the bad states [Henzinger and Wong-Toi, 1996]
 - Use of parametric structures [Hune et al., 2002]
 - Use of approximations [Clarisó and Cortadella, 2007]
 - Refinement of the model based on CEGAR [Frehse et al., 2008]
- We present here a good state-based method

イヨトイヨト

- The good parameters problem
 - "Given a bounded parameter domain V₀, find a set of parameter valuations of good behavior in V₀"



- The good parameters problem
 - "Given a bounded parameter domain V₀, find a set of parameter valuations of good behavior in V₀"



- The good parameters problem
 - "Given a bounded parameter domain V₀, find a set of parameter valuations of good behavior in V₀"



- The inverse problem
 - "Given a reference parameter valuation π_0 , find other valuations around π_0 of same behavior"



- The good parameters problem
 - "Given a bounded parameter domain V₀, find a set of parameter valuations of good behavior in V₀"



- The inverse problem
 - "Given a reference parameter valuation π_0 , find other valuations around π_0 of same behavior"



Outline

- The Modeling Framework of Parametric Timed Automata
- 2 An Inverse Method for Parametric Timed Automata
- Behavioral Cartography
- Application to Probabilistic Systems
- 5 Conclusions and Future Work

• • = • • = •

Outline

The Modeling Framework of Parametric Timed Automata

- 2 An Inverse Method for Parametric Timed Automata
- 3 Behavioral Cartography
- 4 Application to Probabilistic Systems
- 5 Conclusions and Future Work

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Finite state automaton (sets of locations)



B > 4 B

• Finite state automaton (sets of locations and actions)



Timed Automata

- Finite state automaton (sets of locations and actions) augmented ۲ with a set X of clocks [Alur and Dill, 1994]
 - Real-valued variables evolving linearly at the same rate



- Finite state automaton (sets of locations and actions) augmented with a set X of clocks [Alur and Dill, 1994]
 - Real-valued variables evolving linearly at the same rate
- Features
 - Location invariant: property to be verified to stay at a location



- Finite state automaton (sets of locations and actions) augmented with a set X of clocks [Alur and Dill, 1994]
 - Real-valued variables evolving linearly at the same rate
- Features
 - Location invariant: property to be verified to stay at a location
 - Transition guard: property to be verified to enable a transition



- Finite state automaton (sets of locations and actions) augmented with a set X of clocks [Alur and Dill, 1994]
 - Real-valued variables evolving linearly at the same rate
- Features
 - Location invariant: property to be verified to stay at a location
 - Transition guard: property to be verified to enable a transition
 - Clock reset: some of the clocks can be set to 0 at each transition



- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock

B > 4 B

- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock

• Concrete run: alternating sequence of concrete states and actions

ヨトィヨ

- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock
- Concrete run: alternating sequence of concrete states and actions





- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock
- Concrete run: alternating sequence of concrete states and actions





- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock
- Concrete run: alternating sequence of concrete states and actions





- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock
- Concrete run: alternating sequence of concrete states and actions





- Concrete state of a TA: couple (q, w), where
 - q is a location,
 - *w* is a valuation of each clock
- Concrete run: alternating sequence of concrete states and actions





Parametric Timed Automaton (PTA)

• Timed automaton (sets of locations, actions and clocks)



• • = • • = •

Parametric Timed Automaton (PTA)

- Timed automaton (sets of locations, actions and clocks) augmented with a set P of parameters [Alur et al., 1993]
 - Unknown constants used in guards and invariants



ヨトィヨト

Notation

- A valuation π of all the parameters of P is called a point
- Given a PTA A and a point π, we denote by A[π] the (non-parametric) timed automaton where all parameters are instantiated by π

ヨトィヨ

Semantics of Parametric Timed Automata

- Symbolic state of a PTA: couple (q, C), where
 - q is a location,
 - C is a constraint (conjunction of inequalities) over X and P

• • = • • = •

Semantics of Parametric Timed Automata

- Symbolic state of a PTA: couple (q, C), where
 - q is a location,
 - C is a constraint (conjunction of inequalities) over X and P
- Symbolic run: alternating sequence of symbolic states and actions

イヨトイヨト
Semantics of Parametric Timed Automata

- Symbolic state of a PTA: couple (q, C), where
 - q is a location,

 $x = y \\
x \leq p_1$

Étienne ANDRÉ

- C is a constraint (conjunction of inequalities) over X and P
- Symbolic run: alternating sequence of symbolic states and actions

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

글 네 네 글 네

2nd December 2010

14/63



Parametric Timed Automata

Semantics of Parametric Timed Automata

- Symbolic state of a PTA: couple (q, C), where
 - q is a location,
 - C is a constraint (conjunction of inequalities) over X and P
- Symbolic run: alternating sequence of symbolic states and actions



nata Parametric Timed Automata

Semantics of Parametric Timed Automata

- Symbolic state of a PTA: couple (q, C), where
 - q is a location,
 - C is a constraint (conjunction of inequalities) over X and P
- Symbolic run: alternating sequence of symbolic states and actions



Good and Bad Traces

- Trace over a PTA: time-abstract run
 - Finite alternating sequence of locations and actions



B > 4 B

Good and Bad Traces

- Trace over a PTA: time-abstract run
 - Finite alternating sequence of locations and actions



Good and Bad Traces

- Trace over a PTA: time-abstract run
 - Finite alternating sequence of locations and actions



- A trace is said to be good if it verifies a given property
 - Example of good trace for the flip-flop (Q^{\uparrow} occurs before CK^{\downarrow})



• Example of bad trace for the flip-flop



Trace Set

- Trace set: set of all traces of a PTA
- Graphical representation under the form of a tree
 - Does not give any information on the branching behavior though
 - Example of trace set for the flip-flop example



Outline

- The Modeling Framework of Parametric Timed Automata
- 2 An Inverse Method for Parametric Timed Automata
- 3 Behavioral Cartography
- 4 Application to Probabilistic Systems
- 5 Conclusions and Future Work

イロト イロト イヨト

The Inverse Problem

• Input

- A PTA \mathcal{A}
- A reference valuation π_0 of all the parameters of A





イロト イロト イヨト

The Inverse Problem

• Input

- A PTA \mathcal{A}
- A reference valuation π_0 of all the parameters of A
- Output: tile K₀
 - Convex constraint on the parameters such that
 - $\pi_0 \models K_0$
 - For all points $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ have the same trace sets



T71	ANDDI	
Enenne	ANDR	н.

The Inverse Method: General Idea

• Our idea [André et al., 2009a]

- CEGAR-like approach
- Instead of negating bad states, we remove π_0 -incompatible states



The Inverse Method: General Idea

• Our idea [André et al., 2009a]

- CEGAR-like approach
- Instead of negating bad states, we remove π_0 -incompatible states



The Inverse Method: Simplified Algorithm

Start with $K_0 = true$

REPEAT

- Compute a set S of reachable symbolic states under K₀
- Project the constraints onto the parameters
- Solution Refine K_0 by removing a π_0 -incompatible state from S
 - Select a π_0 -incompatible state (q, C) within S (i.e., $\pi_0 \not\models C$)
 - Select a π_0 -incompatible inequality J within C (i.e., $\pi_0 \not\models J$)
 - Add $\neg J$ to K_0

UNTIL no more π_0 -incompatible state in S







Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters







Étienne ANDRÉ







$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{K}_{0} = \\ \mathsf{T}_{Setup} > \delta_{1}^{+} \end{array}$$





$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{K}_{0} = \\ \mathsf{T}_{Setup} > \delta_{1}^{+} \end{array}$$





Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

2nd December 2010 21 / 63



Étienne ANDRÉ



$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{K}_{0} = \\ \mathsf{T}_{Setup} > \delta_{1}^{+} \\ \land \mathsf{T}_{Hold} > \delta_{3}^{+} \end{array}$$



Étienne ANDRÉ



$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{K}_{0} = \\ \mathsf{T}_{Setup} > \delta_{1}^{+} & \wedge & \delta_{3}^{+} + \delta_{4}^{+} \geqslant \mathsf{T}_{Hold} \\ \wedge & \mathsf{T}_{Hold} > \delta_{3}^{+} & \wedge & \delta_{3}^{+} + \delta_{4}^{+} < \mathsf{T}_{HI} \\ \wedge & \mathsf{T}_{Setup} \leqslant \mathsf{T}_{LO} & \wedge & \delta_{3}^{-} + \delta_{4}^{-} \leqslant \mathsf{T}_{Hold} \\ \wedge & \delta_{1}^{-} > 0 \end{array}$$



Étienne ANDRÉ

Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K_0 . We have:

- $\bullet \ \pi_0 \models \mathsf{K}_0, and$
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*

• • = • • = •

Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



22/63

Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- $\mathbf{0} \ \pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** for all $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ have the same trace sets.



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*



Correctness

Theorem (Correctness)

Suppose that $IM(A, \pi_0)$ terminates with output K₀. We have:

- **1** $\pi_0 \models K_0$, and
- **2** *for all* $\pi \models K_0$, $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ *and* $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ *have the same trace sets.*

Idea of the proof



22/63

Termination

- Termination is in general undecidable for PTAs
- However, we give sufficient condition for the termination of IM

Proposition (Termination)

The algorithm terminates if the set of traces of $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ contains no cyclic trace (trace passing twice by the same location).

• Remarks

- Many case studies fall into this class
- The termination can be shown in more cases
- In practice, the algorithm also terminates for most of our "cyclic" case studies

• • = • • = •

Implementation

• IMITATOR II [André, 2010]

- IMITATOR: "Inverse Method for Inferring Time AbstracT BehaviOR"
- 8000 lines of code
- 6 man-months of work
- Program written in OCaml
- Makes use of the PPL library for handling polyhedra
- Available on the Web
 - http://www.lsv.ens-cachan.fr/~andre/IMITATOR2

イヨトイヨト
Inverse Method: Case Studies

• Case studies treated

- Hardware circuits
- Communication protocols
 - Bounded Retransmission Protocol
 - CSMA/CD Protocol
 - Root Contention Protocol
- SIMOP (Farman project LSV LURPA): manufacturing system with sensors and controllers communicating through a network
- SPSMALL (ANR VALMEM project): real memory circuit (ST-Microelectronics)
- Comparison of the constraints
 - Constraints synthesized almost always equal to or better than the ones from the literature

• • = • • = •

Summary of the Inverse Method

• Advantages

- Useful to optimize timing delays of systems
- Gives a criterion of robustness to the system
- Independent of the property one wants to check
- Terminates often in practice
- Efficient: allows to handle dozens of parameters
- Remarks
 - The constraint K_0 synthesized is not maximal: there are points $\pi \notin K_0$ which give the same trace set as π_0
 - For a given property $\phi,$ there may be different trace sets satisfying ϕ

イヨトイヨト

Outline

- D The Modeling Framework of Parametric Timed Automata
- 2) An Inverse Method for Parametric Timed Automata
- Behavioral Cartography
 - 4 Application to Probabilistic Systems
 - 5 Conclusions and Future Work

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Beyond the Inverse Method

- Goal: Find the maximal set of points corresponding to a good behavior
- Method: iterate the inverse method for all the integer points of a given rectangle V_0
- Output: set of behavioral tiles for all the integer points of V₀

イヨトイヨト

The Behavioral Cartography Algorithm



1 r	epeat
2	select an integer point $\pi \in V_0$;
3	if $\pi \notin Cover$ then
4	<i>Cover</i> \leftarrow <i>Cover</i> \cup <i>IM</i> (\mathcal{A}, π):

5 **until** *Cover* contains all the integer points of V_0 ;

イロト イロト イヨト

Application to the Flip-Flop Example

Goal

• Find the maximal set of values for δ_3^+ and δ_4^+ such that the flip-flop has a good behavior

Method

- Perform the behavioral cartography of the flip-flop circuit according to δ_3^+ and δ_4^+
- The other parameters are instantiated

• • = • • = •



Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

2nd December 2010 31 / 63



Étienne ANDRÉ



Étienne ANDRÉ



Étienne ANDRÉ



Étienne ANDRÉ



Étienne ANDRÉ



Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

2nd December 2010 31 / 63





Étienne ANDRÉ



Étienne ANDRÉ

Behavioral Cartography Algorithm: Full Coverage

Proposition

For acyclic PTAs, the full coverage of the whole parametric space is ensured for a grid fine enough.

Grid: points (integers or rationals) on which the inverse method may be called

イヨトイヨ

Behavioral Cartography Algorithm: Full Coverage

Proposition

For acyclic PTAs, the full coverage of the whole parametric space is ensured for a grid fine enough.

Grid: points (integers or rationals) on which the inverse method may be called

Idea of the proof:

• Based on the finiteness of the number of possible tiles

• • = • • = •

Partition into Good and Bad Tiles

- A tile is said to be a good tile if all its corresponding traces are good traces
- According to the nature of the trace sets, we can partition the tiles into good and bad ones

イヨトイヨト

Example of Good and Bad Tiles for the Flip-flop

• Good tile 3



• Bad tile 7



Behavioral Cartography of the Flip-flop: Partition



Étienne ANDRÉ

Behavioral Cartography of the Flip-flop: Partition



Étienne ANDRÉ

Behavioral Cartography of the Flip-flop: Remarks

- Remarks on the cartography
 - For this example, all the real-valued part of the parametric space within and outside V₀ is covered
- The set of good tiles (in blue) corresponds to the maximal set of good values for δ_3^+ and δ_4^+
 - $\bullet \ \ \delta^+_3+\delta^+_4\leqslant 24 \ \ \wedge \ \ \delta^+_3\geqslant 8 \ \ \wedge \ \ \delta^+_4\geqslant 3$

• • = • • = •

Cartography Algorithm: Implementation

• Implementation in Imitator II

- Trace sets under a graphical form
- Cartography under a graphical form (for 2 parameter dimensions)

• Application to case studies

- Hardware devices
- Communication protocols
- SPSMALL memory
- Allows to solve the good parameters problem

イヨトイヨト

The SPSMALL Memory

Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

617

2nd December 2010 38 / 63

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

The SPSMALL Memory



Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters

2nd December 2010 38 / 63

The SPSMALL Memory: VALMEM Project

- Memory circuit sold by ST-Microelectronics
- Studied in the framework of the ANR VALMEM project
 - LIP 6, LSV, ST-Microelectronics
- Goal: minimize timing parameters T_{Setup}^{D} and T_{Setup}^{Wen}
 - Reference valuation in the datasheet of the memory: $T_{Setun}^{D} = 108$ and $T_{Setun}^{Wen} = 48$



The SPSMALL Memory: Methodology



	0.000.00	 1 11217

イヨトイヨト

The SPSMALL Memory: Methodology



	0.000.00	 1 11217

イヨトイヨト

The SPSMALL Memory: Cartography Algorithm

- Cartography of the memory according to T_{Setup}^{D} and T_{Setup}^{Wen}
 - Reference rectangle V₀:

 $T_{Setup}^{D} \in [89; 108]$ $T_{Setup}^{Wen} \in [25; 48]$

→ < E > < E > E

The SPSMALL Memory: Cartography Algorithm

- Cartography of the memory according to T_{Setup}^{D} and T_{Setup}^{Wen}
 - Reference rectangle V₀:

 $T_{Setup}^{D} \in [89; 108]$ $T_{Setup}^{Wen} \in [25; 48]$

The SPSMALL Memory: Cartography Algorithm

- Cartography of the memory according to T_{Setup}^{D} and T_{Setup}^{Wen}
 - Reference rectangle V₀:

 $T_{Setup}^{D} \in [89; 108]$ $T_{Setup}^{Wen} \in [25; 48]$





- Partition into good and bad tiles
 - Using the property of good behavior specified by the datasheet



- Partition into good and bad tiles
 - Using the property of good behavior specified by the datasheet



- Minimization of timing delays
 - $T_{Setup}^{D} = 108$
 - $T_{Setup}^{Wen} = 48$

- Partition into good and bad tiles
 - Using the property of good behavior specified by the datasheet



- Minimization of timing delays
 - $T_{Setup}^{D} = 108 \rightarrow 96$ (decrease of 11.1%)
 - $T_{Setup}^{Wen} = 48 \rightarrow 29$ (decrease of 39.6 %)

- Partition into good and bad tiles
 - Using the property of good behavior specified by the datasheet



- Minimization of timing delays
 - $T_{Setup}^{D} = 108 \rightarrow 96$ (decrease of 11.1 %)
 - $T_{Setup}^{Wen} = 48 \rightarrow 29$ (decrease of 39.6 %)

Practical interest: allows to work in a faster environment

- Optimization of the datasheet
- Financial interest
Advantages of the Behavioral Cartography

- Solves the good parameters problem
- Under certain conditions, covers the whole real-valued parametric space
- Independent of the property one wants to check
 - Only the partition depends on the property
 - No need to compute a cartography for each property

ヨトィヨト

Outline

- The Modeling Framework of Parametric Timed Automata
- 2 An Inverse Method for Parametric Timed Automata
- 3 Behavioral Cartography
- Application to Probabilistic Systems
 - 5 Conclusions and Future Work

The Root Contention Protocol

- Root contention protocol of the IEEE 1394 ("FireWire")
 - Election of a leader after a certain number of rounds
 - Protocol mixing time and probabilities
 - Timing delays: $s_min = 1590 ns$ and delay = 300 ns
- Computation of minimum or maximum probabilities
 - Example: "Minimum probability that a leader is elected after 5 rounds or less"
 - Use of the Prism model checker [Hinton et al., 2006]
- Problem
 - Prism is very sensitive to the size of the timing constants
 - For this valuation (*s_min* = 1590 *ns* and *delay* = 300 *ns*), Prism does not succeed to compute probabilities

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一戸

Goal

Goal

Compute constraints on the timing parameters such that the minimum and maximum probabilities of reachability properties remain the same.

글 돈 세 글

Goal

Goal

Compute constraints on the timing parameters such that the minimum and maximum probabilities of reachability properties remain the same.

Application

• By minimizing the timing delays within this constraint, Prism will be able to compute probabilities more easily

イヨトイヨト

Probabilistic Timed Automaton

- Probabilistic Timed Automaton [Gregersen and Jensen, 1995, Kwiatkowska et al., 2002a]
 - Timed automaton



Probabilistic Timed Automaton

- Probabilistic Timed Automaton [Gregersen and Jensen, 1995, Kwiatkowska et al., 2002a]
 - Timed automaton with probabilities



Parametric Probabilistic Timed Automaton (PPTA)

- Probabilistic Timed Automaton [Gregersen and Jensen, 1995, Kwiatkowska et al., 2002a]
 - Timed automaton with probabilities
- Augmented with a set of parameters [André et al., 2009b]



Probabilistic Traces

• Probabilistic trace

• Finite alternating sequence of locations and actions

Probabilistic Traces

• Probabilistic trace

• Finite alternating sequence of locations and actions with probabilities

$$\underbrace{\text{INIT}}_{1} \xrightarrow{\text{send}} \underbrace{\text{TRANSMIT}}_{1} \xrightarrow{\text{cd}} \underbrace{\text{collide}}_{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{wait}} \underbrace{\text{send}}_{1} \xrightarrow{\text{send}} \underbrace{\text{TRANSMIT}}_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Min / Max Probabilities of Reaching a State

- A scheduler s associates to every state one output distribution
- Given a scheduler, one can associate a probability to the state space
 - In particular: probability of reaching a location
- Minimum and maximum probabilities of reaching a given location
 - Minimum and maximum for all possible schedulers

The Inverse Problem for PPTAs

• Inputs

- A PPTA $\mathcal A$
- A reference valuation π_0 of A



TR43		A N T		-
ETI	enne	AIN	г лк	Е.
	er u re			-

The Inverse Problem for PPTAs

• Inputs

- A PPTA \mathcal{A}
- A reference valuation π_0 of A
- Output: tile K₀
 - Convex constraint on the parameters such that
 - $\pi_0 \models K_0$
 - For all $\pi \models K_0$, the sets of probabilistic traces of $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ are equal



イヨトイヨト

The Inverse Problem for PPTAs

• Inputs

- A PPTA \mathcal{A}
- A reference valuation π_0 of A
- Output: tile K₀
 - Convex constraint on the parameters such that
 - $\pi_0 \models K_0$
 - For all $\pi \models K_0$, the sets of probabilistic traces of $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ are equal



As a consequence, the minimum and maximum probabilities for reachability properties are the same in $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$

	AND	D D
Httoppo		ысн
Lucinic	AINL	

Derandomized PPTA

- Derandomized form \mathcal{A}^* of a PPTA \mathcal{A} : replace distributions by non-determinism
 - \mathcal{A}^* becomes a PTA

• • = • • = •

Derandomized PPTA

- Derandomized form \mathcal{A}^* of a PPTA \mathcal{A} : replace distributions by non-determinism
 - \mathcal{A}^* becomes a PTA

Example:



3

Derandomized PPTA

- Derandomized form \mathcal{A}^* of a PPTA \mathcal{A} : replace distributions by non-determinism
 - \mathcal{A}^* becomes a PTA

Example:



3

Extension of the Inverse Method to PPTAs

- **①** Construct a derandomized version \mathcal{A}^* of \mathcal{A}
- **2** Compute $K_0 = IM(\mathcal{A}^*, \pi_0)$

Equality of the Sets of Probabilistic Traces

Theorem (Correctness)

Let A *be a PPTA, and* π_0 *a valuation of the parameters. Let* $K_0 = IM(A^*, \pi_0)$ *. Then, for all* $\pi \models K_0$ *, the sets of probabilistic traces of* $A[\pi]$ *and* $A[\pi_0]$ *are equal.*

Consequence:

The minimum and maximum probabilities for reachability properties are the same in *A*[*π*] and *A*[*π*₀]

• • = • • = •

Application to Probabilistic Systems

Application to the Root Contention Protocol







 $delay = 300 \, ns$

2 delay < 760



• Input: IEEE reference valuation s_min = 1590 ns delay = 300 ns

Output: $\begin{array}{ll} K_{0}: & 2 \textit{delay} < 760 \\ & \land 2 \textit{delay} + 850 < s_\textit{min} \end{array}$

- *Prob*₅: Minimum probability that a leader is elected after 5 rounds or less
 - Prism does not succeed in computing *Prob*₅ for π₀
 - For a smaller valuation π₁, Prism computes that *Prob*₅ = 0.94

Étienne ANDRÉ

Synthesis of Timing Parameters



Étienne ANDRÉ

• Input: IEEE reference valuation s_min = 1590 ns delay = 300 ns

Output: K₀ : 2delay < 760 ∧ 2delay + 850 < s_min

- *Prob*₅: Minimum probability that a leader is elected after 5 rounds or less
 - Prism does not succeed in computing *Prob*₅ for π₀
 - For a smaller valuation π₁, Prism computes that *Prob*₅ = 0.94

2nd December 2010

54/63

• By correctness of our method, $Prob_5 = 0.94$ also for π_0

Extension of the Cartography

Extension of the Cartography to PPTAs

- Construct a derandomized (non-probabilistic) version \mathcal{A}^* of \mathcal{A}
- 2 Apply the cartography algorithm to \mathcal{A}^* and V_0

ヨトィヨト

Application to Probabilistic Systems Extensi

Extension of the Cartography

The Root Contention Protocol: Cartography



Application to Probabilistic Systems Ex

The Root Contention Protocol: Cartography



Étienne ANDRÉ

The Root Contention Protocol: Cartography



• We consider the following V_0 : $s_min \in [1400; 2000]$ and $delay \in [10; 500]$

Remarks

- Tiles 1 and 6 are infinite towards one dimension
- The cartography does not cover the whole real-valued space within V₀

(holes in the lower right corner of V_0)

2nd December 2010 56 / 63

The Root Contention Protocol: Partition



Synthesis of Timing Parameters

Étienne ANDRÉ

- *Prob*₅: "Minimum probability that a leader is elected after five rounds or less"
 - Tile 1: $Prob_5 = 0.94$
 - Tiles 2 and 3: *Prob*₅ = 0.79
 - Tile 6: $Prob_5 = 0.66$
 - Other tiles: $Prob_5 = 0.5$

The Root Contention Protocol: Partition



Synthesis of Timing Parameters

Étienne ANDRÉ

- *Prob*₅: "Minimum probability that a leader is elected after five rounds or less"
 - Tile 1: $Prob_5 = 0.94$
 - Tiles 2 and 3: *Prob*₅ = 0.79
 - Tile 6: $Prob_5 = 0.66$
 - Other tiles: $Prob_5 = 0.5$
- Find parameter valuations such that *Prob*₅ ≥ 0.75
 - Good tiles: 1, 2 and 3

Advantages of the Probabilistic Cartography

- Allows the rescaling of the timing constants
 - Guarantees the equality of minimum and maximum probabilities of reachability properties for smaller constants within K_0
 - Allows a much faster computation of probabilities in practice
- Avoids the repeated computation of probabilities for many different values of the parameters
- Gives a quantitative refinement of the good parameters problem
 - Instead of a partition with a binary criterion (good / bad), we have a partition according to various probabilities

イロト イポト イラト イラト 二日

Outline

- The Modeling Framework of Parametric Timed Automata
- 2 An Inverse Method for Parametric Timed Automata
- 3 Behavioral Cartography
- 4 Application to Probabilistic Systems
- **5** Conclusions and Future Work

Summary (1/2)

• Inverse Method: Algorithm IM

- Original method for the synthesis of timing parameters.
- Gives a criterion of robustness to the system
- Implementation: Imitator II
 - Application to an industrial case study: optimization of timing delays in the SPSMALL memory
- Behavioral cartography: Algorithm BC
 - Solves the good parameters problem

ヨトィヨト

Summary (2/2)

• Extension to probabilistic systems

- Synthesizes a set of tiles, with uniform min/max reachability probabilities within each tile
- Allows the rescaling of timing constants
 - Useful to compute probabilities (e.g., using Prism) for systems with large constants
- Application to several randomized protocols

ト < 三 ト < 三 ト</p>

Future Work: Short Term

- Extend the behavioral cartography to hybrid automata
 - Allow to consider continuous variables driven by differential equations
- Improvement of Imitator II
 - Automatic partition using an external model-checker (UPPAAL or Prism)
 - Implementation of variants of *IM*: quicker termination and better constraints for safety properties

イヨトイヨト

Future Work: Long Term

• Consider a weaker property than equality of trace sets

• Reference trace with partial orders



- Application to other formalisms
 - Priced / Weighted Timed Automata
 - Timed extensions of Petri Nets
References I



Alur, R. and Dill, D. L. (1994).

A theory of timed automata. *TCS*, 126(2):183–235.



Alur, R., Henzinger, T. A., and Vardi, M. Y. (1993). Parametric real-time reasoning. In *STOC '93*, pages 592–601. ACM.

André, É. (2010). IMITATOR II: A tool for solving the good parameters problem in timed automata. In Chen, Y.-F. and Rezine, A., editors, *INFINITY'10*, volume 39 of *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 91–99.



André, É., Chatain, T., Encrenaz, E., and Fribourg, L. (2009a). An inverse method for parametric timed automata. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 20(5):819–836.



André, É., Fribourg, L., and Fribourg, L. (2010). Behavioral cartography of timed automata. In *RP'10*, volume 6227 of *LNCS*, pages 76–90. Springer.



André, É., Fribourg, L., and Sproston, J. (2009b). An extension of the inverse method to probabilistic timed automata. In *AVoCS'09*, volume 23 of *Electronic Communications of the EASST*.

イヨトイヨト

References II



Clarisó, R. and Cortadella, J. (2007). The octahedron abstract domain. *Sci. Comput. Program.*, 64(1):115–139.



Frehse, G., Jha, S., and Krogh, B. (2008). A counterexample-guided approach to parameter synthesis for linear hybrid automata. In *HSCC '08*, volume 4981 of *LNCS*, pages 187–200. Springer.



Gregersen, H. and Jensen, H. E. (1995). Formal design of reliable real time systems. Master's thesis, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Aalborg University.

Henzinger, T. A. and Wong-Toi, H. (1996). Using HyTECH to synthesize control parameters for a steam boiler. In *Formal Methods for Industrial Applications: Specifying and Programming the Steam Boiler Control, LNCS 1165.* Springer-Verlag.



Hinton, A., Kwiatkowska, M., Norman, G., and Parker, D. (2006). PRISM: A tool for automatic verification of probabilistic systems. In *TACAS'06*, volume 3920 of *LNCS*, pages 441–444. Springer.

Hune, T., Romijn, J., Stoelinga, M., and Vaandrager, F. (2002). Linear parametric model checking of timed automata. Journal of Logic and Algebraic Programming.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

References III



Kwiatkowska, M., Norman, G., Segala, R., and Sproston, J. (2002a). Automatic verification of real-time systems with discrete probability distributions. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 282:101–150.

Kwiatkowska, M., Norman, G., and Sproston, J. (2002b). Probabilistic model checking of the IEEE 802.11 wireless local area network protocol. In *Proc. PAPM/PROBMIV'02*, volume 2399 of *LNCS*, pages 169–187. Springer.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Preservation of LTL Formulae

Corollary of the correctness of IM

Proposition (LTL-preservation)

Let $K_0 = IM(A, \pi_0), \pi \models K_0$ *and* φ *an LTL formula verifiable on finite traces. Then* φ *holds for* $A[\pi]$ *iff* φ *holds for* $A[\pi_0]$.

• Given $\pi \models IM(\mathcal{A}^*, \pi_0)$:



- 3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Given $\pi \models IM(\mathcal{A}^*, \pi_0)$: $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$



 $\mathcal{A}^*[\pi_0]$

• Justification

Prop. 1: The set of derandomized traces of *A*[π₀] is equal to the set of (non-probabilistic) traces of *A**[π₀] [André et al., 2009b]



• Justification

- Prop. 1: The set of derandomized traces of *A*[π₀] is equal to the set of (non-probabilistic) traces of *A**[π₀] [André et al., 2009b]
- Prop. 2: The sets of (non-probabilistic) traces of A*[π₀] and A*[π] are equal [André et al., 2009a]





 $\mathcal{A}^*[\pi_0]$

• Justification

- Prop. 1: The set of derandomized traces of *A*[π₀] is equal to the set of (non-probabilistic) traces of *A**[π₀] [André et al., 2009b]
- Prop. 2: The sets of (non-probabilistic) traces of A^{*}[π₀] and A^{*}[π] are equal [André et al., 2009a]

• Given $\pi \models IM(\mathcal{A}^*, \pi_0)$:



• Justification

- Prop. 1: The set of derandomized traces of *A*[π₀] is equal to the set of (non-probabilistic) traces of *A**[π₀] [André et al., 2009b]
- Prop. 2: The sets of (non-probabilistic) traces of A^{*}[π₀] and A^{*}[π] are equal [André et al., 2009a]

• Given $\pi \models IM(\mathcal{A}^*, \pi_0)$:



• Justification

- Prop. 1: The set of derandomized traces of *A*[π₀] is equal to the set of (non-probabilistic) traces of *A**[π₀] [André et al., 2009b]
- Prop. 2: The sets of (non-probabilistic) traces of A^{*}[π₀] and A^{*}[π] are equal [André et al., 2009a]
- Prop. 3: If the sets of derandomized traces of $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ are equal, then the sets of probabilistic traces of $\mathcal{A}[\pi]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\pi_0]$ are equal [Kwiatkowska et al., 2002b]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Summary of Experiments: *IM*

• Computation times of various case studies

• Experiments conducted on an Intel Core2 Duo 2.4 GHz with 2 Gb

Example	PTAs	loc./PTA	X	P	iter.	$ K_0 $	states	trans.	Time
SR-latch	3	[3, 8]	3	3	5	2	4	3	0.007
Flip-flop	5	[4, 16]	5	12	9	6	11	10	0.122
And-Or	3	[4, 8]	4	12	14	4	13	13	0.15
Latch circuit	7	[2, 5]	8	13	12	6	18	17	0.345
CSMA/CD	3	[3, 8]	3	3	19	2	219	342	1.01
RCP	5	[6, 11]	6	5	20	2	327	518	2.3
BRP	6	[2, 6]	7	6	30	7	429	474	34
SIMOP	5	[5, 16]	8	7	53	9	1108	1404	67
SPSMALL	28	[2, 11]	28	62	94	45	129	173	461

イヨトイヨト

Summary of Experiments: BC

• Computation time for the cartography algorithm

• Experiments conducted on an Intel Core2 Duo 2.4 GHz with 2 Gb

Example	PTAs	loc./PTA	X	P	$ V_0 $	tiles	states	trans.	Time (s)
SR-latch	3	[3,8]	3	3	1331	6	5	4	0.3
Flip-flop	5	[4,16]	5	2	644	8	15	14	3
Latch circuit	7	[2,5]	8	4	73062	5	21	20	96.3
And-Or	3	[4,8]	4	6	75600	4	64	72	118
CSMA/CD	3	[3,8]	3	3	2000	140	349	545	269
RCP	5	[6,11]	6	3	186050	19	5688	9312	7018
SPSMALL	28	[2, 11]	28	3	784	213	145	196	31641